

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,184	10/10/2001	Roger Kitain	9803-108-999	4486	
32291 75	7590 08/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			LU, KI	LU, KUEN S	
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA. 94085			2167		
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/059,345	CRAIG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kuen S. Lu	2167	

Advisory Addien	10/009,040	ORAIO ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kuen S. Lu	2167					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	so med widin the time period set it	,	-				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by see continuation sheet. 			nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Art Unit: 2167

1. This is a continuation of PTO-303. Application No. 10/059,345.

2. Regarding the Applicant's *Response* filed on August 2, 2005, please see discussions below for the Examiner's response to the *Response*.

At Pages 1-2, the Applicant argued that the query objects, including the boolean expressions, cited from the Coden reference (U.S. Patent 6,341,277) are not responses. The Examiner has not been consistent in or provided basis for the interpretation.

As to the above arguments, the Examiner respectully submits that the query objects derived from user's query strings are the rsponses to user's query strings for excapsulating or expressing user queries. The query objects are further utilized to communicate with and retrieve result from database. As an exmaple, please refer to col. 5, lines 10-24 wherein user's query strings SCARY SHOWER SCENE OR BIRDS FLYING are augmented into query object to include parametric query HITCHCOCK and operator AND.

The Examiner further respectfully submits that the Coden's system's query objects constituites a reply or a reaction to user's entry of query strings and serves as a basis of interpretation of responses. Also please note user's query strings and Coden's query objects are both responses for responding to system prompts and query strings, respectively

3. Based on he above consideration, regarding Applicant's Remarks, the Applicant's arguments have been considered carefully, however, the rejections is maintained as set forth on the Final Action March 2, 2005.

Page 3

Mohammad Ali

Primary Examiner

August 25, 2005

August 25, 2005

Patent Examiner

Kuen S. Lu